Validator Provided

Certified Validation Report Template, Part A: Provided by Validator

Audit Information:

Water System Name: Mesa Water District

Public Water System Identification (PWSID)¹: CA3010004

MWDoc

¹List only 1 PWSID, which should match the PWSID on the FWAS Instructions Tab. For Special cases where multiple water systems are connected with permanent two-way interties, list those additional PWSIDs in the **Notes** below and describe the water distribution system(s) configuration.

PWSID and Water System Configuration Notes (Provided to Validator by Water System):

n/a

Audit Period: 07/2020-6/2021

Validation Date: 12/14/2021

Sufficient Supporting Documents Provided: Yes

Validation Findings & Confirmation Statement:

Key Audit Metrics:

Data Validity Score: 82 Data Validity Band (Level): IV

ILI: .58 Real Loss (gal/con/day): 10.59 Apparent Loss (gal/con/day): 15.34

Non-revenue water as percent of cost of operating system: 3.1

Certification Statement by Validator:

This water loss audit report has been Level 1 validated per the requirements of California Code of Regulations Title 23, Division 2, Chapter 7 and the California Water Code Section 10608.34.

All recommendations on volume derivation and Data Validity Grades were incorporated into the water audit. 🗵

If not, rejected recommendations are included here:

Validator Information:

Water Audit Validator: Rachel Davis,

Qualifications: Water Audit Validator Certificate issued by the CA-NV Section of the AWWA

Municipal Water District of Orange County

Certified Validation Report Template, Part B: Provided by Utility

Water System Name: Mesa Water District

Public Water System Identification (PWSID)²: CA3010004

²List only 1 PWSID, which should match the PWSID on the FWAS Instructions Tab. For Special cases where multiple water systems are connected with permanent two-way interties, those additional PWSIDs should have been listed in the Notes section on Page 1 by the Validator.

Water Audit & Water Loss Improvement Steps:

1. Steps Taken: <u>Water System to identify steps taken in the preceding 3 years to increase data validity, reduce real loss, and reduce apparent loss as informed by the annual validated water audit:</u>

Since 2018, Mesa Water® has taken the following steps to improve its water loss performance:

- Implemented highly accurate magnetic flow meters at all water production facilities
- Performed leak detection on approximately one third of the distribution system by length
- Performed accuracy testing on more than 1% of the customer meters in the system.
- Standardized on the Badger Recordall meter technology for all new customer meters and for the largest users.
- Implemented Badger's Eye On Water for large users to have real time access to their water use
- 2. Planned Steps (OPTIONAL): If your audit reflects negative real losses or the cost of non-revenue water is greater than 100% of the operating costs (issues for which your audit will not meet code requirements), you will be asked what steps you are planning in the coming year to address these issues. If you already know what steps you plan to take, you may list them here. If not, please prepare a response within 90 days (23 CCR Section 638.6[a]).

In FY22, Mesa Water® has contracted with MWDOC to perform another 90 miles of leak detection.

3. Certification Statement by Utility Executive:

This water loss audit report meets the requirements of California Code of Regulations Title 23, Division 2, Chapter 7 and the California Water Code Section 10608.34 and has been prepared in accordance with the method adopted by the American Water Works Association, as contained in their manual, *Water Audit and Loss Control Programs, Manual M36, Fourth Edition* and in the Free Water Audit Software version 5.

Executive Name (Print)

Paul E. Shoenberger, P.E.

Executive Position

General Manager

Signature Bocusigned by:

Paul E. Shoenberger, P.E.

Date

1/7/2022

	ter Audit Software: g Worksheet	WAS v5.0 American Water Works Association.
Click to access definition Water Audit Report for: Reporting Year: Click to add a comment Reporting Year: 2020 7/2020 - 6/2021		
Please enter data in the white cells below. Where available, metered values should be used; if metered values are unavailable please estimate a value. Indicate your confidence in the accuracy of the		
All volumes to be entered as: ACRE-FEET PER YEAR		
To select the correct data grading for each input, determine the highest grade where Master Meter and Supply Error Adjustments		
WATER SUPPLIED <	Enter grading in column 'E' and 'J'> Pcnt:	Value:
Volume from own sources: + ? 9 Water imported: + ? 7 Water exported: + ? n/a	16,264.500 acre-ft/yr + ? 10 0.58% 66.500 acre-ft/yr + ? 7 0 acre-ft/yr + ? 16,264.500 acre-ft/yr + ? 66.500	O I acre-ft/yr O I acre-ft/yr O I acre-ft/yr
WATER SUPPLIED:		or value for under-registration or value for over-registration
AUTHORIZED CONSUMPTION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Click here:
Billed metered: + ? 9 Billed unmetered: + ? n/a	15,386.140 acre-ft/yr acre-ft/yr	for help using option buttons below
Unbilled metered: + ? n/a	acre-ft/yr Pcnt:	Value:
Unbilled unmetered: + ? 5 Default option selected for Unbilled unmetered - a grading of	202.965 acre-ft/yr 1.25% 6	acre-ft/yr
AUTHORIZED CONSUMPTION: 2	15,589.105 acre-ft/yr	Use buttons to select percentage of water supplied
WATER LOSSES (Water Supplied - Authorized Consumption)	648.105 acre-ft/yr	<u>OR</u> value
Apparent Losses Unauthorized consumption: + ?	Pcnt: 40.593 acre-ft/yr 0.25%	▼ Value:
Default option selected for unauthorized consumption - a grading	10.000	doro tayi
Customer metering inaccuracies: + ? 7 Systematic data handling errors: + ? 5	304.396 acre-ft/yr 1.94% 38.465 acre-ft/yr 0.25%	1
Systematic data handling errors: + ? 5 Default option selected for Systematic data handling errors - a		acre-ft/yr
Apparent Losses:	383.455 acre-ft/yr	
Real Losses (Current Annual Real Losses or CARL) Real Losses = Water Losses - Apparent Losses: 2 264.650 acre-ft/yr		
WATER LOSSES:	648.105 acre-ft/yr	
NON-REVENUE WATER		
NON-REVENUE WATER: ? = Water Losses + Unbilled Metered + Unbilled Unmetered	851.070 acre-ft/yr	
SYSTEM DATA		
Length of mains: + ? 9 Number of active AND inactive service connections: + ? 7 Service connection density: ?	328.4 miles 22,315 68 conn./mile main	
Are customer meters typically located at the curbstop or property line?	Yes (In the familia line housed the annual	
Average length of customer service line: + ? Average length of customer service line has been set to zero and a dat	(length of service line, <u>beyond</u> the property boundary, that is the responsibility of the u	
Average length of customer service line has been set to zero and a data Average operating pressure: + ? 7	78.9 psi	
COST DATA	622.004.402	
Total annual cost of operating water system:	\$33,981,193 \$/Year \$4.49 \$/100 cubic feet (ccf)	
Variable production cost (applied to Real Losses): + ? 7	\$662.96 \$/acre-ft Use Customer Retail Unit Cost to	value real losses
WATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY SCORE:		
*** YOUR SCORE IS: 82 out of 100 ***		
A weighted scale for the components of consumption and water loss is included in the calculation of the Water Audit Data Validity Score		
PRIORITY AREAS FOR ATTENTION:		
Based on the information provided, audit accuracy can be improved by addressing the following components:		
1: Volume from own sources		
2: Unauthorized consumption		
3: Systematic data handling errors		